



Universal Energy Access & Rural Electrification in Developing Countries

Promoting Investment in Clean Energy Technologies

The case of Solar PV in South Saharan Africa

Ivan Nygaard, Energy Researcher, PhD UNEP Risoe Centre, RISØ-DTU





Outline of Presentation

- Rural electrification the context
- Solar PV in the development discourse
- Changing conditions for solar PV
- Hybrid PV-diesel for small isolated grids
- Experience with delivery models for SHS
- Issues of consideration







Rural electrification – the context

- Electricity is one among other preconditions for development
- Improvements still slow:
 - Rural electrification was not the main objective of liberalization
 - It takes time for electricity agencies and electricity funds to gain momentum



Historically low electrification rates in Africa







Solar PV (SHS) historically

ENERGY, CLIMATE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

- High expectations in the 1970's
 - high and increasing oil prices
 - rapid development of technology
 - aspirations of economic development in rural areas
- Seemingly good arguments
 - leap-frog technology, high solar irradiation, long lifetime, low maintenance, difficult access to fossil fuel
- Finding problems to fit the solutions
 - Converging interests between donors and industry
 - green movement, decentralisation, SHS as a liberal approach, climate change concerns
 - PRS contract (10 % of annual EU production)





SHS - status in the new millennium

Bad reputation

- Donor driven agenda
- Second best solution
 - SHS mainly for communicative and not for productive use
 - Not an alternative to grid connection
- Donated systems to schools, health centres, community centres have a high failure rate
- Theft a great problem

Matured technology

- Increasing markets
 - 2.5 million SHS worldwide
 - 0.5 million SHS in Africa
- Especially in a few countries
 - Kenya 200,000
 - South Africa 150,000
 - Morocco 37,000
 - Zimbabwe 15,000





Technical University of Denmark

-and are expected to remain high

UNEP RISØ centre

ENERGY, CLIMATE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Oil prices forecast, DOE



Short-Term Energy Outlook, February 2008





Price of PV modules and systems accounting for inflation effects



Source: IEA Photovoltaic Power Systems Programme



Fig. 19. Price experience curves for PV modules.

Source: Hoffmann, 2006



Solar PV in hybrid systems

UNEP RISØ centre

ENERGY, CLIMATE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

- PV hybrid is future safe compared to SHS
 - established high voltage grid for productive use and for future grid connection
 - useful for building up load
- PV hybrid (wind) is mainly used for specific purpose
 - isolated nature camps (Thailand)
 - small islands communities
 - test plants (ex. 500 kW in Thailand)
 - test system at Risø National Laboratories
- Increasing no. of reports on economic feasibility of hybrid systems compared to diesel
- Creation of a new task force for Hybrid systems in the International Energy Agency (IEA)





Solar PV in hybrid systems

| | PV (kW) | Gen. set | Battery | Converter | Renewables | Diesel | Gen. set | Production |
|--------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|----------|-------------------|
| | kW | KW | 3 kWh | KW | % | liters | hours | kWh |
| Hybrid | 12 | 15 | 20 | 6 | 0,40 | 9.343 | 2.054 | 40150 |
| Diesel | | 15 | | | - | 22.783 | 8.760 | 40150 |

6 % interest (real)

| | Crude oil USD/barril | Diesel EUR/liter | Initial capital EUR | Operating cost EUR/yr | Total NPC EUR | Electricity EUR/kWh | Price margin EUR/kWh |
|----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Hybrid | 30 | 0,33 | | 7.157 | 155.605 | 0,30 | |
| Hybrid | 60 | 0,48 | 64.113 | 8.527 | 173.121 | 0,34 | 0,10 |
| Hybrid | 90 | 0,63 | | 9.960 | 191.435 | 0,37 | |
| Gen. set | 30 | 0,33 | | 15.445 | 203.853 | 0,40 | |
| Gen. set | 60 | 0,48 | 6.407 | 18.787 | 246.569 | 0,48 | 0,20 |
| Gen. set | 90 | 0,63 | | 22.281 | 291.227 | 0,57 | |
| | | | | | | | |

15 % interest (real)

| Hybrid | 90 | 0,63 | 37.313 | 12.994 | 121.309 | 0,70 |
|--------|----|------|--------|--------|---------|------|
| Genset | 90 | 0,63 | 6.407 | 22.260 | 150.297 | 0,87 |

Calculation by means of HOMER from NREL



Technical University of Denmark

PV – hybrid feasibility depends on context



ENERGY, CLIMATE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

- Calculations are sensitive to:
 - system size, system configuration
 - existing and future load patterns
 - battery lifetime
- A level playing field for PV and diesel is a precondition
 - fuel taxation, fuel subsidies
 - taxation on material (also replacements)
- Continued project support or specific subsidies for market built up is recommendable
 - transactions costs
 - costs of market creation





Delivery models for SHS

- Donation model
 - market introduction, institutions,
 - most countries
- Cash sales model
 - Kenya,
- Programme model
 - Zimbabwe
- Fee-for-service model
 - South Africa





How do the models reduce prices?

- Competition, the main challenge
 - Private sales model, may favour small local enterprises,
 - low prices in Kenya
 - Programme model may create market distortion
 - Concession model, favours international companies,
 - big orders may reduce prices
- Financing up front costs
 - modular sale in Kenya
 - programme model have been successful in involving existing banks in credit for SHS (low rate, long term)
 - easier for big companies to achieve credit at reasonable terms





How do the models reduce prices ?

- Subsidies
 - Rural electrification is generally subsidized, so create a level playing field
 - Subsidies justified to create an initial market
 - Kenya market model without subsidies
 - Programme financing schemes do have an indirect subsidy element
 - SA fee for service model with 80 % investment subsidies





The question of maintenance

- Private ownership gives incentives for good maintenance.
 - Difficult for rural people to discern good and bad quality PV
 - Lack of skilled personnel
- Programme models generally establish support schemes, train technicians, and ensures quality.
 - Technicians might leave, quality assurance might not be enough
- Fee for service model leaves all maintenance to the service provider
 - Low commitment from user,
 - Utility may go bankrupt





Issues for consideration

- Most economic activity lays outside the reach of external parties
- Success and failure of delivery models, strongly depending on context, and market development level
 - Private led delivery is ideal at the early and late stage of market development
 - Programme approaches providing lending and quality insurance may be an option at intermediate market development level
 - Fee for service models an option for large scale and committed efforts from governments





Issues for consideration

- Long term political commitment to market stimulation
 - unfortunately not a strong virtue of donor programmes
- Inclusion of 'established' financial sector
 - process is more important than numbers
- Subsidy
 - levelling the playing field compared to other technologies
 - targeted subsidies are important to stimulate the market
 - clear, understandable and communicated exit strategy

Technical University of Denmark E

USA & Canada: 19.4

0

ANZ: 5.2%

10

5

0

DTU

t CO_{2eq}/cap



ENERGY. CLIMATE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

;1

7.000

(all Kyoto gases, including those from land-use) 30 Annex I: Non-Annex I: Population 80.3% Population 19.7% I. 25 20 Average Annex I: 16.1 t CO₂eq/cap 15 Other non-Annex I: 2.0%

Regional per capita GHG emissions

Europe AnnexII: EIT Annex I: 9. Average non-Annex I: Latin America: 10.3% Idle East: 3.8' 4.2 t CO₂eq/cap 1.4% Non-Annex I East Asia: Africa: 7.8% South Asia: 13.1% 17.3% 1,000 2.000 3.000 4.000 5.000 6.000

Cumulative population in million





Thanks for your attention !