



# Computer and Telecommunications Law Review

2013 Vol.19 Issue 2  
ISSN: 1357-3128

## Table of Contents

### Articles

ABHINAV SEKHRI

#### **Open Standards and E-Governance in India: Implications for IP Protection and Standards** 47

The open standards movement gathered new momentum in India, with a Policy document recommending the same for e-Governance projects. Notably, the model eschews royalties for IP holders in the technology adopted as standard, a move bearing significant consequences for the future of standardisation processes in the country. This paper engages in a critical examination of this move by the Government.

SIMON THOMPSON

#### **Are Email Regulations Leaving Businesses Confused?** 54

With recent high profile cases, such as the News of the World hacking scandal, hitting the headlines, the issue of email retention has quickly moved up the agenda for many businesses. Much soul searching has taken place as they ask themselves how easily they could retrieve email evidence if required to do so in court.

NICHOLAS TALL

#### **Capping Liability in B2B Standard Terms of Business: Trustees of Ampleforth Abbey Trust v Turner & Townsend Project Management Ltd** 57

In this article Nicholas Tall examines a recent decision regarding reasonableness under the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977 where a company contracting on standard terms of business sought to cap its liability at a level far below the insurance cover required in respect of a specific project. The case is of interest to all lawyers that draft limitation and exclusion clauses, particular where this is done by reference to insurance.

STEPHEN MASON

#### **A Curious Case of Electronic Evidence (and Perhaps an Electronic Signature)** 60

Insurance companies sometimes enable customers to interact with them online. Where a claim is in dispute, the insurance company must demonstrate it was satisfied that the insured was the person to log on to the system, and not an unauthorised third party. This article considers a case in which the contingent beneficiaries disputed an online change of beneficiary purportedly made by the deceased.

BEN HOYLE

#### **Apple v Samsung: Lessons from a Global Intellectual Property War** 63

Apple and Samsung are the world's largest suppliers of smartphones by market share. Their struggle for mobile dominance has increasingly spilled over into the courts. This article reviews their worldwide actions. It examines what can be learnt for those wishing to enforce their intellectual property in multiple jurisdictions.

OLIVER BRAY

#### **The App Effect: How Apps are Changing the Legal Landscape** 66

This article looks at the current state of the interplay between apps and the law by reviewing a few of those areas where apps seem to be sailing close to the wind, if not side-stepping the law. It also considers where potential legal solutions may lie.

### Technology Section

MATTHEW SHARKEY AND MARK  
TAYLOR

#### **Speech Recognition** 71

Manufacturers are increasingly adopting automatic speech recognition systems (ASR systems) in the design of their consumer products, most notably in smartphones. This article provides a basic overview of how ASR systems work, highlights some limitations and briefly outlines how use of this technology is likely to develop.

## **Special Briefing**

RAMZI MADI

### **The Legal Framework for Protecting Typeface Designs under the Jordanian Intellectual Property Laws 73**

This article aims to explain the legal framework for protecting typefaces designs in Jordan. Because the protection of typefaces involves multiple intellectual property systems, the author will focus on the Copyright Protection Law, the Industrial Designs and Models Law, the Unfair Competition and Trade Secrets Law, and the Trademark Law in Jordan. Although this is not a comparative study, the author presents brief experiences in other selected countries including international conventions.

## **News Section**

**EU**

QUENTIN ARCHER AND MATTHEW  
SHARKEY

DAVID E. HALLIDAY

### **DATA PROTECTION**

**Regulation N-21**

**EC Computing, Telecommunications and Related Measures N-24**

**US Federal Computing, Telecommunications and Related Measures N-39**