2016 Volume 38 Issue 8
ISSN: 0142–0461

European Intellectual Property Review

24 AGO. 2016

Table of Contents

UNIVERSIDAD PONTIFICIA COMILLAS DE MADRID - BIBLIOTECA

Opinion

ELENA COOPER AND RONAN DEAZLEY

Interrogating Copyright History 467
This article discusses recent developments in copyright history scholarship arising from the publication of "Copyright at Common Law in 1774" by Tomas Gómez-Arostegui. Drawing on the discussions at a Symposium hosted by CREATe, University of Glasgow, the article considers what these developments illustrate about the nature of academic research and the relevance of copyright history to lawyers and policy-makers today.

Articles

SABINE JACQUES

A Parody Exception: Why Trade Mark Owners Should Get the Joke 471
Recent reforms saw the introduction of a parody exception into UK copyright law, and current debate calls for a similar parody exception in EU trade mark law. This article evaluates why there is a need for such specific exception, and why Recital 25 of the recast Trade Marks Directive might be insufficient. Despite the generally commercial nature of trade marks, a closer analysis reveals that trade mark owners' rights have expanded to cover expressive uses of signs, including parody. The introduction of an exception allowing registered trade marks to be parodied would re-balance the protection afforded to signs, in order to ensure that trade mark owners may still exercise their rights, but without causing disproportionate harm to the exercise of freedom of expression.

ELEONORA ROSATI

International Jurisdiction in Online EU Trade Mark Infringement Cases: Where is the Place of Infringement Located? 482
Article 97 of the European Union Trade Mark Regulation (EUTMR) sets out a number of grounds to determine international jurisdiction in cases of alleged infringement of an EU trade mark (EUTM). Besides the possibility of bringing proceedings before the courts of the Member State of domicile/establishment of the defendant/claimant and where the EU Intellectual Property Office (formerly the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market) has its seat, art.97(5) EUTMR also allows for proceedings to be brought "in the courts of the Member State in which the act of infringement has been committed or threatened". In the absence of specific guidance from the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU), this contribution asks how art.97(5) EUTMR is to be interpreted in relation to proceedings for the alleged infringement of a EUTM over the internet. It concludes that, in light of preceding jurisprudence, the CJEU may hold that this is place where the activation of the process for the technical display of infringing content on a certain website takes place. While in the majority of instances this is likely to be the same place where the defendant is domiciled/established, this may not always be the case.

PHILIPPE JOUGLEUX AND TATIANA-ELENI SYNODINOU

Holograms and Intellectual Property Law: A Multidimensional Issue 492
The miraculous universe of holograms has a dynamic presence in art, entertainment and science. This article focuses on the legal analysis of holograms within the framework of intellectual property law, by adopting a mainly European approach. It will be demonstrated that the IP status of holography is complex and fragmented. While more flexibility appears necessary with a view to patenting hologram trade marks, a more rigorous approach when examining the patentability of holograms seems appropriate. Furthermore, the complexity and dispersion of copyright and image rights leads to a legal labyrinth which cannot be resolved by means of the law as it currently stands.

KATRINE BROCH PETERSEN

A New Legal Landscape for the Pharmaceutical Sector? Analysis of Articles 20.27 and 20.29 of CETA in Context 499
The miraculous universe of holograms has a dynamic presence in art, entertainment and science. This article focuses on the legal analysis of holograms within the framework of intellectual property law, by adopting a mainly European approach. It will be demonstrated that the IP status of holography is complex and fragmented. While more flexibility appears necessary with a view to patenting hologram trade marks, a more rigorous approach when examining the patentability of holograms seems appropriate. Furthermore, the complexity and dispersion of copyright and image rights leads to a legal labyrinth which cannot be resolved by means of the law as it currently stands.

ATT. ONUR SAHIN

The Past, the Present and the Future of Colour and Smell Marks 504

Non-traditional trade marks have become part of commerce and advertising, but they continue to struggle to find a place in the current EU trade mark system. The reason for this, simply, is that the current EU trade mark system is based on traditional trade marks, such as words, names, letters and logos. However, the system has been challenged increasingly by traders wanting to register non-traditional trade marks. This article specifically focuses on colour and smell trade marks, and attempts to set out how these kinds of non-traditional trade marks can be registrable under the EU law. In this context, there are two main obstacles: the graphical representation requirement and the distinctiveness requirement. The registration problems of non-traditional trade marks impelled the European Commission to revise the current situation of the functioning of the EU trade mark system, and this has resulted in proposals for both a new trade mark Directive and a new Regulation. These proposals suggest the abolition of the graphical representation requirement and the inclusion of colours and sounds as examples of signs that may constitute a trade mark.

Comments

REBECCA WONG

Comment on *CEDC International sp z oo v OHIM* 517

This comment discusses the recent General Court decision in *CEDC International sp z oo v OHIM* (12 November 2015) on the assessment of trade marks and the comparison between figurative and verbal elements of trade marks and the implications arising from the decision when considering the similarity of marks.

ROSA MARIA BALLARDINI AND MARCUS NORRGÅRD

Digitising Patent Law: Challenges from 3D Printing Technologies 519

Recent advances in 3D printing (3DP) techniques have led to more widespread use of the technology, while raising concerns among IP right holders. Currently, many questions remain open and it is not clear how the IP ecosystem will react to these technological changes. Here, we focus on the challenges posed to traditional patent law by 3DP. The starting point is a recent US CAFC decision on patents and 3DP. Subsequently, we turn the discussion to Europe to shed light on those patent law doctrines that are likely to be most affected by the "digitisation of objects" phenomenon represented by 3DP.

Book Reviews

522